arXiv:0912.5417v3 [gr-qc] 18 Mar 2011

Gauge Group and Topology Change

Izumi Tanaka* and Seiji Nagami†
Education Center, Faculty of Engineering, Setsunan University, Neyagawa 572-8508, Japan

(Dated: March 21, 2011)

The purpose of this study is to examine the effect of topology change in the initial universe. In this study, the concept of G-cobordism is introduced to argue about the topology change of the manifold on which a transformation group acts. This G-manifold has a fiber bundle structure if the group action is free and is related to the spacetime in Kaluza-Klein theory or Einstein-Yang-Mills system. Our results revealed that fundamental processes of compactification in G-manifolds. In these processes, the initial high symmetry and multidimensional universe changes to present universe by the mechanism which lowers the dimensions and symmetries.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Gz, 04.50.+h, 04.60.Gw.

I. INTRODUCTION

Various studies have been conducted on evolution of initial universe. From the theory of unification, initial universe has high symmetry, multidimensional origin and would not distinguish between visible and extra dimensions. For consistency with experience, topological separation of visible and extra dimensions by means of a certain kind of spontaneous symmetry breaking is needed, and it is required to give the differentiation of the initial dimension into extra and visible dimensions [1]. As a result, present topology would be product of compact manifold with our universe after the topological separation and the differentiation had succeeded. It is considered that such topological separation take place in the Plank era, at which spacetime would be governed by quantum gravity. The topological separation is specifically expressed by compactification as following: the initial spacelike hypersurface is M_{initial} and the final hypersurface is $M_{\text{visible}} \times M_{\text{extra}}$. In this process, by a compactified universe, we mean a universe with spacelike topology $M_{\text{visible}} \times M_{\text{extra}}$.

In this paper we address the influence of the topology change to the spacetime with symmetry. Specifically, we study the compactification of multidimensional universe with high symmetry by the quantum gravity effect. Kaluza-Klein (KK) space-time or Einstein-Yang-Mills system (EYMS) can be referred to as a spacetime relevant to such symmetry. The universe accompanied by a gauge field like these are described by G-manifold. Studying about the influence of the topology change to such spacetime has a physical significance.

There is another viewpoint of introducing G-manifold as follows: Under the standard model, there is only a restriction of a dimension for the multidimensional universe [2]. Since the general solutions of a higher dimensional Einstein equation do not have symmetry at all, it is possible to use a G-manifold to confer symmetry on them by additional conditions. Introducing the symmetry as both uniformity and isotropy by means of G-manifold, quantum evolution of the multidimensional universe has been analysed [3–5].

At least in certain scope, it seems reasonable to think that quantum gravity may be conceived as a path integral over spacetimes [6]. One considers all cobordism joining the given initial and final spacelike hypersurfaces. Then it also seems reasonable to extend the cobordism to G-cobordisms having the boundaries as hypersurfaces before and after the topology change when spacetimes have gauge symmetry.

We consider it significant to analyse the initial universe using a G-manifold from these facts. Previous studies of topology change based upon cobordism theory have been argued, such as cobordism [7–9], spin-Lorentz cobordism [10, 11], the Morse theory [12–16], and surgery theory [17, 18], up to now.

When a non-degenerate Lorentz metric and a causal partial order on spacetime are not satisfied, spatial topology change is not allowed within the classical theory of relativity [7]. Also when weak energy condition is taken into consideration with the Einstein equation, similar result can be derived [8]. Previously, topology change has been discussed by abandoning causal order and allowing closed timelike curves (CTCs) [9].

On the other hand, by abandoning CTC and giving priority to causal order, the causally continuous almost Lorentzian (CCAL) cobordism can be obtained [14]. Furthermore, it is possible to obtain causal continuous cobordism by using surgery [17, 18]. Such CCAL cobordism has been introduced as a criterion of whether cobordism contributes to the Sum Over Histories (SOH) or not.

An explosion of papers argue about the quantum evolution of universe can be described by SOH. In Euclidean path integral [19], gravitational action S_E is unbounded from below. Then the assertion that the contribution from a saddle point comprises the principal part of a wave function becomes meaningless. The convergence is achieved only by integrating along a complex contour in the the space of complex metrices. There are a number of inequivalent contours along which the path integral converges, each dominated by different saddle-points with

---

*Electronic address: tanaka-i@eng.setsunan.ac.jp
†Electronic address: nagami@atf.setsunan.ac.jp

different forms for the wave functions [20, 21]. On the other hand, there is room for argument in a Lorentzian path integral for the improvement of outgoing mode proposal and singularity [22]. Thus, the Euclidean and the Lorentzian path integrals have problems in their formulation.

In Causal Dynamical Triangulations (CDT) which also discusses path integrals, causality serves as an critical point in their discussion [23–25]. Since the universe superposed in the Euclidean quantum gravity is inherently unstable simply because it results in too many configurations contributing to the path integral, the Lorentzian spacetime is assembled with encoded causality [26].

However, previous studies cannot capture the concrete effect of topology change resulting in manifold with symmetry. We do not discuss from a viewpoint of wave function. Instead we advance an argument by paying attention to the specific path corresponding to topology change of the multidimensional universe with gauge symmetry.

We would like to clarify anew our position of dealing with topology change. Our approach is to utilize the G-cobordism theory:

First, G-manifolds with fiber bundle structure like Kaluza-Klein theory, Einstein-Maxwell system and Einstein-Yang-Mills system are set as the objects of topology change. G shows the transformation group (gauge group) which acts freely on a manifold.

Second, G-cobordism is introduced in order to deal with topology change of G-manifold. G-cobordism is reduced into cobordism [7–9] when gauge group $G = \{1\}$.

Third, when treating topology changes, causality should be respected. Let CCAL be a criterion whether the cobordism is physically allowed or not.

CCAL metric of spacetime is degenerate at a finite number of isolated singularities. It is thought that finiteness of quantum field propagation on the spacetime [17, 27, 28] is related to causality continuum [14, 15, 17]. Topology changing solutions of the first order form of general relativity also deals with degenerate metric [29, 30].

This paper discusses the topology change accompanied by higher to lower gauge symmetry transition. In Section II, G-cobordism is surveyed and the basic theorem of G-cobordism is proved. In Section III, the concrete example of a G-cobordant manifold is given. In Section IV, conclusions and discussion of topology change in spacetime are made.

II. MATHEMATICAL PRELIMINARIES

We specify mathematically the type of spacetime that is targeted. Einstein-Maxwell system (EMS) and Einstein-Yang-Mills system (EYMS) have principal fiber bundle structure with gauge group, $G = U(1)$ for EMS and $G = SU(2)$ for EYMS, respectively. We use EGS to refer the system having principal fiber bundle structure with gauge group G such as EMS or EYMS. These systems can be identified with G-manifold:

Theorem 1 *Let G be a compact Lie group and $\widetilde{M}$ be G-manifold.*

If G action is free, orbital space $\widetilde{M}/G$ will serve as a manifold, and $\pi : \widetilde{M} \mapsto \widetilde{M}/G$ becomes projection map of C^∞ principal fiber bundle where G is the fiber.

The differentiation structure on $\widetilde{M}/G$ is unique and fulfills the following conditions:

(i) $\pi : \widetilde{M} \mapsto \widetilde{M}/G$ is C^∞ map.

(ii) $h : \widetilde{M}/G \mapsto N$ is C^∞ map if and only if $h \circ \pi$ is C^∞ map.

For Kaluza-Klein theory (KK) where gauge field is reduced from a higher dimensional G-manifold, the following relation is known.

Theorem 2 *Let pseudo Riemann manifold $(\widetilde{M}, \tilde{g})$ be invariant under transformation group G's free action. Then $(\widetilde{M}, \tilde{g})$ shows one-to-one correspondence to pseudo Riemann metric g on orbital space $M = \widetilde{M}/G$, gauge field A, and scaler field γ which takes value in inner product of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} A' &= ad(a)A + daa^{-1}, a \in G \\ \gamma' &= \gamma \circ ad(a). \end{aligned}$$

In order to deal with topology change of these G-manifolds, it is necessary to introduce the concept of G-cobordism which is extension of cobordism. In our discussion, topology change is limited to G-cobordantness among manifolds. We outline the G-cobordism theory for G-manifolds [31, 32].

Let H be a closed Lie subgroup of G. We denote by (H) the set of Lie subgroups of G that are conjugate to H.

Definition 3 *A family $\mathfrak{F}$ of closed subgroups of G is called admissible if $(K) \subset \mathfrak{F}$ whenever $K \in \mathfrak{F}$. If the isotropy subgroup of any point of $\widetilde{M}$ belongs to $\mathfrak{F}$, then $\widetilde{M}$ is called $\mathfrak{F}$ free G manifold. Moreover, suppose that $\widetilde{M}$ has a boundary $\partial\widetilde{M}$ and that $\mathfrak{F}$ has a admissible subfamily $\mathfrak{F}'$. Then $\widetilde{M}$ is called $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{F}')$ free if $\widetilde{M}$ is $\mathfrak{F}$ free and $\partial\widetilde{M}$ is $\mathfrak{F}'$ free.*

Definition 4 *Let $\widetilde{M}$ be a $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{F}')$ free n-dimensional oriented compact G-manifold. Then $\widetilde{M}$ is called null G-cobordant if there exist an $(n + 1)$-dimensional $\mathfrak{F}$ free compact G-manifold W and an n-dimensional compact G-invariant submanifold $\widetilde{M}_1$ of ∂W, such that*

(1) $\widetilde{M}$ is G-isomorphic to $\widetilde{M}_1$,

(2) $\partial W - \widetilde{M}_1$ is $\mathfrak{F}$ free.

This is expressed as $\widetilde{M} \sim 0$.

Further, two n-dimensional $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{F}')$ free oriented compact G-manifolds $(\widetilde{M}, G)$, $(\widetilde{M}', G)$ are called G-cobordant if the direct sum as a set $(\widetilde{M}, G) + (-\widetilde{M}', G)$ is null G-cobordant.

This relation is expressed as $(\widetilde{M}, G) \sim (\widetilde{M'}, G)$.

The relation G-cobordant is equivalent relation among the set of $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{F}')$ free n-dimensional compact G-manifolds.

Convention 5 *We denote by* $[\widetilde{M}, G]$ *the* G*-cobordant class of a* $(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{F}')$ *free oriented compact* G*-manifold* $(\widetilde{M}, G)$*, and by* $\Omega_n(G; \mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{F}')$ *the set of* G*-cobordant classes.*

Note that $\Omega_n(G : \mathfrak{F}_1)$ ($\mathfrak{F}_1 = \{\{1\}\}$, $\{1\}$: trivial subgroup) is the set of G-cobordant classes of G-manifolds where compact Lie group G acts on freely.

Definition 6 *Let* $g : N \mapsto Y$ *be a singular* n*-manifold of a topological space* Y*. For* $g : N \mapsto Y$*, a partition of some natural number* $I = (k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_m)$*, and cohomology classes* $x \in H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z}_2), y \in H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z})$*,*

set

$$w_{I,x}(g) = \langle w_{k_1}(N) \cdots w_{k_m}(N)(g^*x), [N]_2 \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_2$$
$$p_{I,y}(g) = \langle p_{k_1}(N) \cdots p_{k_m}(N)(g^*y), [N] \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

These are called bordism Stiefel-Whitney number and bordism Pontrjagin number of g *for* I *respectively .*

If f_G *is the classifying map (see Appendix A)* $f_G : \widetilde{M}/G \mapsto \mathbb{B}G$ *of a free* G*-action* $\psi : \widetilde{M} \times G \mapsto \widetilde{M}$*, then* $w_{I,x}(f_G)$ *and* $p_{I,y}(f_G)$ *are denoted by* $w_{I,x}(\widetilde{M}, G)$ *and* $p_{I,y}(\widetilde{M}, G)$*, and are called the bordism Stiefel-Whitney number and bordism Pontrjagin number of* ψ *respectively.*

The following facts are known [31, 32].

Theorem 7 *Let* $g : N \mapsto Y$ *be a singular* n*-manifold of a topological space* Y*. Suppose that* $[N, g] = 0 \in \Omega_n(Y)$*. Then all of the bordism Stiefel-Whitney numbers and bordism Pontrjagin numbers of* g *vanish.*

Theorem 8 *Let* $\widetilde{M}$ *be an* n*-dimensional free* G*-manifold without boundary. Then* $\left[\widetilde{M}, G\right] = 0 \in \Omega_n(G : \mathfrak{F}_1)$ *as the element of* G*-cobordism group if and only if all of the bordism Pontrjagin numbers and the bordism Stiefel-Whitney numbers of the* G*-manifold vanish.*

Theorem 9 *Defining* $p_*([\widetilde{M}, G]) = [\widetilde{M}/G, f_G]$*, we have the following isomorphism of degree -dim(*G*) as graded* Ω_**-module,*

$p_* : \Omega_*(G) \mapsto \Omega_*(\mathbb{B}G)$*, where* $\Omega_*(G) = \oplus_{n \geq 0} \Omega_n(G)$*.*

Since $\mathbb{B}G$ is infinite CW complex, we need the following well known theorem about CW complexes [33].

Theorem 10 *(Cellular approximation theorem)*

Let X *be an* n*-dimensional CW complex and* $f : X \mapsto Y$ *a continuous map from* X *to an another CW complex* Y*. Then there exists a cellular map* $f' : X \mapsto Y^{(n)}$ *that is homotopic to* f*, where* $Y^{(n)}$ *denote the* n*-skelton of* Y*.*

Combining the above theorems, we can prove the following:

Theorem 11 *Let* $\widetilde{M}$ *be an* n*-dimensional oriented compact* G*-manifold without boundary. Suppose that the homology group* $H_*(\mathbb{B}G)$ *has no torsion and that the Thom homomorphism* $\mu : \Omega_*(\mathbb{B}G) \mapsto H_*(\mathbb{B}G)$ *is surjective. Then* $\left[\widetilde{M}, G\right] = 0 \in \Omega_n(G : \mathfrak{F}_1)$ *if and only if all of the bordism Pontrjagin numbers and the bordism Stiefel-Whitney number of the* G*-manifold vanish.*

Proof.

First suppose that $\left[\widetilde{M}, G\right] = 0 \in \Omega_n(G)$*. Let* $p_*(\left[\widetilde{M}, G\right]) = \left[\widetilde{M}/G, f_G\right]$

Then from Theorem 7, all of the bordism Pontrjagin numbers and the bordism Stiefel Whitney numbers of classifying map $f_G : \widetilde{M}/G \mapsto \mathbb{B}G$ *are zero.*

Thus all of the bordism Pontrjagin numbers and the bordism Stiefel Whitney numbers of the G*-manifold are zero.*

Conversely, suppose that all of the bordism Pontrjagin numbers and the bordism Stiefel Whitney numbers of the G*-manifold are zero.*

This means that all of the bordism Pontrjagin numbers and the bordism Stiefel Whitney numbers of the classifying map $f_G : \widetilde{M}/G \mapsto \mathbb{B}G$ *are zero.*

By the Theorem 10 (cellular approximation theorem), we have a map $f'_G : \widetilde{M}/G \mapsto (\mathbb{B}G)^{(n+1)}$ *that is homotopic to* f *in* $\mathbb{B}G$*, where* $(X)^k$ *denote the* k*-skeleton of* X*. Thus* $\left[\widetilde{M}/G, f_G\right] = 0 \in \Omega_{n-d}(\mathbb{B}G)$ *by Theorem 8. This implies that* $[\widetilde{M}/G, f_G] = [\widetilde{M}/G, f'_G] = 0 \in \Omega_{n-d}(\mathbb{B}G)$*. Furthermore, from Theorem 9,* $p_* : \Omega_m(G) \mapsto \Omega_{m-d}(\mathbb{B}G)$ *is an isomorphism as* Ω*-module. Therefore, since* $p_*([\widetilde{M}, G]) = \left[\widetilde{M}/G, f_G\right]$*, we have that* $\left[\widetilde{M}, G\right] = 0$*.* □

Then condition of topology change can be summarized as follows: "In the topology change based on G-cobordism, the bordism Stiefel-Whitney number $w_{I,x}(\widetilde{M}, G)$ and bordism Pontrjagin number $p_{I,y}(\widetilde{M}, G)$ are equal before and after the topology change."
G-cobordism is reduced into cobordism when $G = \{1\}$.

III. TOPOLOGY CHANGE

We specify the type of topology change that is considered. In order for given compactification process $M_{\text{initial}} \to M_{\text{visible}} \times M_{\text{extra}}$ possible, one needs to prove the existence of a CCAL cobordism W such that $\partial W = M_{\text{initial}} \sqcup M_{\text{visible}} \times M_{\text{extra}}$ [18]. Our argument extends cobordism which deals with compactification to G-cobordism.

The extension to G-cobordism of compactification is given as follows: From now on, let G, G_1, and G_2 be compact Lie groups such that they admit orientations that are preserved by both left and right translations, and that the skeletons of their classifying spaces are finite. Let $\widetilde{M}$

be an oriented compact differentiable n-dimensional G-manifold without boundary. Note that the quotient map $\widetilde{M} \mapsto \widetilde{M}/G$ is a principal G-bundle. We denote its classifying map by $f_G : \widetilde{M}/G \mapsto \mathbb{B}G$. Suppose that the topology of a G-manifold $\widetilde{M}$ changes to a direct product of two G-spaces with dim $G_i \geq 1$ for $i = 1, 2 (G = G_1 \times G_2)$:

$$(\widetilde{M}, G) \longrightarrow (\widetilde{M}_1, G_1) \times (\widetilde{M}_2, G_2) \tag{1}$$

This means, they are G-cobordant:

$$\left[\widetilde{M}, G\right] \sim \left[\widetilde{M}_1, G_1\right] \times \left[\widetilde{M}_2, G_2\right]. \tag{2}$$

In KK interpretation, topology change $\left[\widetilde{M}, G\right] \sim \left[\widetilde{M}_1, G_1\right] \times \left[\widetilde{M}_2, G_2\right]$ with interpolating manifold $\widetilde{W}$ shows that $\widetilde{M}$, $\widetilde{M}_1$, and $\widetilde{M}_2$ are spatial hypersurface, and $\widetilde{W}$ is whole spacetime with $\partial\widetilde{W} = \widetilde{M} \sqcup \widetilde{M}_1 \times \widetilde{M}_2$. Further, $\widetilde{M}/G$ corresponds to visible dimensions interacting with gauge field originated in gauge group G.

In EGS interpretation, there is a cobordantness between $M = \widetilde{M}/G$ and $M_1 (= \widetilde{M}_1/G_1) \times M_2 (= \widetilde{M}_2/G_2)$: $\partial W = M \sqcup M_1 \times M_2$, where $W = \widetilde{W}/G$ is whole spacetime interacting with gauge field originated in gauge group G. This is because $\partial\big(\widetilde{W}/G\big) = \big(\partial\widetilde{W}\big)/G$ is satisfied by G's free action. Above all base spaces are oriented, since G preserves the orientation. The existence of the manifolds $\widetilde{W}$ and W make given topology changes possible.

Based on this premise, two examples are discussed below.

Example 1 The situation that spatial hypersurface with higher symmetry changes to direct product of hypersurfaces with lower symmetry is considered.

Let $\xi(P, q, X, \mathbf{Spin}(4))$ be a principal $\mathbf{Spin}(4)$-bundle. Let $p_i : \mathbf{Spin}(4) = \mathbf{SU}(2) \times \mathbf{SU}(2) \to \mathbf{SU}(2)$ denote the projection map to the i-th factor. Then we obtain $\mathbf{SU}(2)-$bundle $P_i = P \times_{p_i} \mathbf{SU}(2)$. Let $c_2^{(i)}(\xi) \in H^4(X; \mathbb{Z})$ denote the second Chern class of $P_i \to X$.

Proposition 12 *Let* $\xi(\widetilde{M}, p, M, \mathbf{Spin}(4))$ *be a principal* $\mathbf{Spin}(4)$*-bundle over an oriented closed 4-manifold with the projection map* $p : \widetilde{M} \to M$. *Then the oriented* $\mathbf{Spin}(4)$*-bordism class* $\left[\widetilde{M}, \mathbf{Spin}(4)\right]$ *splits to lower dimension if and only if* $\sigma(M) = 0$ *and* $c_2^{(i)}(\xi) = 0 \in H^4(M)$ *for i=1,2, where* $\sigma(M)$ *denotes the signature of* M *(see Appendix B).*

Proof.

Suppose that $\left[\widetilde{M}, \mathbf{Spin}(4)\right]$ *splits to* $\left[\widetilde{N}_1, \mathbf{SU}(2)\right] \times \left[\widetilde{N}_2, \mathbf{SU}(2)\right]$*:*

$\left[\widetilde{M}, \mathbf{Spin}(4)\right] \sim \left[\widetilde{N}_1, \mathbf{SU}(2)\right] \times \left[\widetilde{N}_2, \mathbf{SU}(2)\right]$. *Then the* $\mathbf{SU}(2)$*-bundle* $\widetilde{N}_1 \to N_1$ *is principal bundle over 1,2, or 3-dimensional manifold* N_1. *Thus* $w_1(N_1) = w_2(N_1) = p_1(N_1) = 0$. *Moreover, for the classifying map* $\alpha_1 : N_1 \to \mathbb{B}\mathbf{SU}(2)$, *the induced homomorphism* $\alpha_1^* : H^*(\mathbf{SU}(2); \mathbb{Z}) \to H^*(N_1)$ *is trivial, since* $H^*(\mathbb{B}\mathbf{SU}(2))$ *is generated by* $c_2 \in H^4(\mathbf{SU}(2); \mathbb{Z})$. *Thus* $\left[\widetilde{N}_1, \mathbf{SU}(2)\right] = 0$. *Similarly,* $\left[\widetilde{N}_2, \mathbf{SU}(2)\right] = 0$. *Thus we have only to study the necessary and sufficient condition for* $\left[\widetilde{M}, \mathbf{Spin}(4)\right] = 0$.

Let $\xi(\widetilde{M}, p, M, \mathbf{Spin}(4))$ *denote the principal* $\mathbf{Spin}(4)$ *bundle with classifying map* $f = f_1 \times f_2 : M \to \mathbb{B}\mathbf{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{B}\mathbf{SU}(2)$. *Suppose that* $\left[\widetilde{M}, \mathbf{Spin}(4)\right] = 0$. *Since* M *bords,* $\sigma(M) = 0$. *Moreover,* $c_2^{(1)}(\xi) = f_1^* c_2 = 0$. *Similarly,* $c_2^{(2)}(\xi) = f_2^* c_2 = 0$.

Conversely, suppose that $\sigma(M) = 0$ *and* $c_2^{(i)}(\xi) = 0 (i = 1, 2)$. *Then we have that* $p_1(M) = 3\sigma(M) = 0$, *and that* $w_2^2(M) \equiv p_1(M) = 0$ *modulo 2. Moreover, we have that* $f^* x = 0$ *for all* $x (\neq 0) \in H^*(\mathbb{B}\mathbf{Spin}(4))$, *since* $c_2^{(1)}(\xi) = c_2^{(2)}(\xi) = 0$. *Thus* $\left[\widetilde{M}, \mathbf{Spin}(4)\right] = 0$.
This completes the proof. □

If we restrict the conditions of above proposition, we have similar results for higher dimension.

The first case is given as follows:

(i) $\left[\widetilde{M}, \mathbf{Spin}(4)\right]$ splits to $\left[\widetilde{N}_1, \mathbf{SU}(2)\right] \times \left[\widetilde{N}_2, \mathbf{SU}(2)\right]$ with 2-dimensional manifold $N_1 = \widetilde{N}_1/\mathbf{SU}(2)$ and 3-dimensional manifold $N_2 = \widetilde{N}_2/\mathbf{SU}(2)$

Corollary 13 *Let* $\xi(\widetilde{M}, p, M, \mathbf{Spin}(4))$ *be a principal* $\mathbf{Spin}$*(4)-bundle over an oriented closed 5-manifold with the projection map* $p : \widetilde{M} \to M$. *Then the oriented* $\mathbf{Spin}(4)$*-bordism class* $\left[\widetilde{M}, \mathbf{Spin}(4)\right]$ *splits to lower dimension if and only if* $\omega_2(M)\omega_3(M) = 0$.

Proof.

This corollary can be proved similarly as Proposition 12. □

The second case is given as follows:

(ii) $\left[\widetilde{M}, \mathbf{Spin}(4)\right]$ splits to $\left[\widetilde{N}_1, \mathbf{SU}(2)\right] \times \left[\widetilde{N}_2, \mathbf{SU}(2)\right]$ with

3-dimensional manifolds $N_1 = \widetilde{N}_1/\mathbf{SU}(2)$ and $N_2 = \widetilde{N}_2/\mathbf{SU}(2)$.

Corollary 14 *Let* $\xi(\widetilde{M}, p, M, \mathbf{Spin}(4))$ *be a principal* $\mathbf{Spin}$*(4)-bundle over an oriented closed 6-manifold with the projection map* $p : \widetilde{M} \to M$. *Then the oriented* $\mathbf{Spin}(4)$*-bordism class* $\left[\widetilde{M}, \mathbf{Spin}(4)\right]$ *splits to lower dimension if and only if* $\omega_2^3(M) = \omega_3^2(M) = 0$ *and* $\left(c_2^{(i)}(\xi)\right)_2 \omega_2(M) = 0$ *for i=1,2, where* $\left(c_2^{(i)}(\xi)\right)_2$ *denotes the reduction of* $c_2^{(i)}(\xi)$ *modulo 2.*

Proof.

This corollary can be proved similarly as Proposition 12. □

Remark: The similar argument is possible also about $\mathbf{SU}(3) \times \mathbf{SU}(3)$ instead of $\mathbf{Spin}(4)$. Furthermore, $G_1 \times G_2$, a group with higher symmetry is possible.

Example 2 The situation that spatial hypersurface with higher symmetry changes to hypersurface with lower symmetry is considered.

Let $\xi(\widetilde{M}, p, M, G_1 \times G_2)$ be a principal $G_1 \times G_2$ bundle with the classifying map $f = f_1 \times f_2 : M \mapsto \mathbb{B}G_1 \times \mathbb{B}G_2$. Suppose that $H^*(\mathbb{B}G_2; \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by only one element $l \in H^d(\mathbb{B}G_2; \mathbb{Z})$. ($H^*(\mathbb{B}G_2; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is generated by only one element $l_2 \in H^d(\mathbb{B}G_2; \mathbb{Z})$) Set $\eta = f_2^* l \in H^d(M; \mathbb{Z})$ and $l_2 \in H^d(\mathbb{B}G_2; \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

Proposition 15 *$\left[\widetilde{M}, G_1 \times G_2\right]$ topologically changes to $\left[\widetilde{M}_1, G_1\right] \times [G_2, G_2]$ if and only if*

$p_{I,x\otimes l^k}(\widetilde{M}, G_1 \times G_2) = w_{I,x_2\otimes(l_2)^k}(\widetilde{M}, G_1 \times G_2) = 0$ hold for all triples (x, I, k) of cohomology classes $x \in H^*(\mathbb{B}G_1; \mathbb{Z})$, $x_2 \in H^*(\mathbb{B}G_1; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, partition of natural numbers I, and natural numbers $k \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$. In particular, if $\eta = 0$, then $[\widetilde{M}, G_1 \times G_2]$ splits to lower dimension.

Proof.

First suppose that $\left[\widetilde{M}, G_1 \times G_2\right] \sim \left[\widetilde{M}_1, G_1\right] \times [G_2, G_2]$. In this case, we obtain the principal $G_1 \times G_2$ bundle $\widetilde{M}_1 \times G_2 \mapsto M_1 = \widetilde{M}_1 / G_1$ with the classifying map $g = g_1 \times pt : M_1 \mapsto \mathbb{B}G_1 \times \mathbb{B}G_2$, where pt denote a constant map. Then, for all I and $x \otimes y \in H^i(\mathbb{B}G_1; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^j(\mathbb{B}G_2; \mathbb{Z})$ with $j \geq 1$, we obtained $p_{I,x\otimes y}(\widetilde{M}, G_1 \times G_2) = \langle p_I(M) f^*(x \otimes y), [M]\rangle = \langle p_I(M_1) g^*(x \otimes y), [M_1]\rangle = \langle p_I(M_1) g_1^* x (pt)^* y, [M_1]\rangle = 0$.

Thus $p_{I,x\otimes l^k}(\widetilde{M}, G_1 \times G_2) = 0$ holds for all x, I, and k. Similarly we have $w_{I,x_2\otimes(l_2)^k}(\widetilde{M}, G_1 \times G_2) = 0$.

Conversely, suppose that $p_{I,x\otimes l^k}(\widetilde{M}) = \langle p_I(M) f_1^*(x) \eta^k, [M]\rangle = 0$ hold for all x, I, and k. Let $\widetilde{M}_1 = f_1^* \mathbb{E}G_1 \mapsto M$ denote the G_1 bundle induced by $f_1 : M \mapsto \mathbb{B}G_1$. Then, by applying the direct product with G_2 bundle $G_2 \to c$, we obtain a $G_1 \times G_2$ bundle $\widetilde{M}_1 \times G_2 \mapsto M \times c = M$ with the classifying map $f_1 \times pt : M_1 \mapsto \mathbb{B}G_1 \times \mathbb{B}G_2$, where c denotes one point. Thus for all $x \otimes y \in H^i(\mathbb{B}G_1; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^j(\mathbb{B}G_2; \mathbb{Z})$ with $j \geq 1$, we have that $\langle p_I(M) f^*(x \otimes y), [M]\rangle = 0 = \langle p_I(M)(f_1 \times pt)^*(x \otimes y), [M]\rangle$.

Moreover, by identifying $H^0(\mathbb{B}G_2; \mathbb{Z})$ with $\mathbb{Z}$, we have that $\langle p_I(M) f^*(x \otimes y), [M] >= y \langle p_I(M) f_1^* x, [M]\rangle = \langle p_I(M)(f_1 \times pt)^*(x \otimes y), [M]\rangle$ for $x \otimes y \in H^i(\mathbb{B}G; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^0(\mathbb{B}G_2; \mathbb{Z})$. We also have similar equality for the bordism Stiefel-Whitney numbers. Therefore by virtue of Theorem 11, we have that $\left[\widetilde{M}, G_1 \times G_2\right] \sim \left[\widetilde{M}_1, G_1\right] \times [G_2, G_2]$. This completes the proof. □

Remark: Note that $H^*(\mathbb{B}\mathbf{U}(1); \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the first Chern class $c_1 \in H^2(\mathbb{B}\mathbf{U}(1); \mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbf{U}(1) \cong S^1$. $H^*(\mathbb{B}\mathbf{SU}(2); \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the second Chern class $c_2 \in H^*(\mathbb{B}\mathbf{SU}(2); \mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbf{SU}(2) \cong S^3$. See Appendix A.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, we have explored the topology change among G-manifolds. We first proved the theorem specifying condition for the cobordantness among G-manifolds. Based upon this theorem, we described two examples in the §III. The interpolating manifold (cobordism) is thought to be related to the spacetime from a viewpoint that spatial topology change emerges in spacetime. Results of topology change in G-manifold can be translated into those in KK and EGS. Moreover, it is possible to introduce the concept of extra dimensions (plus visible dimensions) in EGS , not to mention KK.

Examples 1 and 2 show the fundamental processes of compactification in G-manifolds. From these processes, the initial high symmetry and multidimensional universe changes to present universe by the mechanism which lowers the dimensions and symmetries.

Here, we explain the essential characteristic of these two processes by EGS interpretation . In example 1, the hypersurface splits to two hypersurfaces, and their gauge symmetries are lowered. In example 2, the hypersurface lowers its gauge symmetry and changes its topology without changing its dimension. In both example 1 and 2, topology changes are accompanied by higher to lower gauge symmetry transitions.

After the compactified universe emerges by the process of example 1, following secondary process can be considered: it is possible to interpret hypersurface in example 2 as visible (or extra) dimension. In this case, interpolating manifold of spacetime consists of product of trivial cobordism of extra (or visible) dimension and cobordism of visible (or extra) dimension. In this processes, as clear from the Proposition 15, conditions are imposed only to visible (or extra) dimension before topology change:

$p_{I,x\otimes l^k}(\widetilde{M}, G_1 \times G_2) = w_{I,x_2\otimes(l_2)^k}(\widetilde{M}, G_1 \times G_2) = 0,$

where $\widetilde{M}$ is visible (or extra) dimension. As a result, change of topology and gauge symmetry emerge only in visible (or extra) dimension.

When the above process is applied to the extra dimension, significant effect related to superstring theory can occur. Since there is no restriction for geometrical configuration of extra dimension after topology change, the number of generations of fundamental fermions related to this configuration can be changed [34]. From the abovementioned processes and their combination, geometrical configuration connected to the differentiation is given.

Each example is explained in the following. In example 1, we show the necessary and sufficient condition that $\left[\widetilde{M}, \mathbf{Spin}(4)\right]$ and $\left[\widetilde{N}_1, \mathbf{SU}(2)\right] \times \left[\widetilde{N}_2, \mathbf{SU}(2)\right]$ are G-cobordant in Proposition 12, Corollary 13 and Corollary 14. Interpolating manifold is denoted by $\widetilde{W}$.

(A) KK interpretation:

$(6+a)$-dimensional null cobordant hypersurface $\widetilde{M}$ can topology change to direct product of $(3+b)$-dimensional null cobordant hypersurface $\widetilde{N}_1$ and $(3+a-b)$-dimensional null cobordant hypersurface $\widetilde{N}_2$. ($(a,b) = (4,1)$, $(4,2)$, $(4,3)$, $(5,2)$, $(5,3)$, $(6,3)$) It is possible to think either $\widetilde{N}_1$ or $\widetilde{N}_2$ to correspond to extra dimensions.

(B) EGS interpretation:

(B-i) Base spaces are cobordant: for $W = \widetilde{W}/\mathbf{Spin}(4)$, $M = \widetilde{M}/\mathbf{Spin}(4)$, and $N_i = \widetilde{N}_i/\mathbf{SU}(2)$, $(i = 1,2)$, there exists a relation $\partial W = (M \sqcup N_1 \times N_2)$. Under W being whole spacetime, spatial hypersurface M are compactified to $N_1 \times N_2$ by topology change. M, N and N_1 are null cobordant. It is possible to think either N_1 or N_2 to correspond to extra dimensions.

(B-ii) Corresponding to (A-i) we have $\dim M = a$, $\dim N_1 = b$ and $\dim N_2 = a-b$. Since W corresponds to spacetime, M is higher dimensional hypersurface, N_1 is b dimensional hypersurface, and N_2 is $a-b$ dimensional hypersurface.

Let us switch our attention to example 2. In example 2, we show the necessary and sufficient condition for $\left[\widetilde{M}, G_1 \times G_2\right]$ and $\left[\widetilde{M}_1, G_1\right] \times \left[\widetilde{M}_2(=G_2), G_2\right]$ to be G-cobordant in Proposition 15. Interpolating manifold is denoted by $\widetilde{W}$.

(A') KK interpretation:

If $\dim\widetilde{M} = r$, $\dim G_1 = s$ and $\dim G_2 = t$, r-dimensional null cobordant hypersurface $\widetilde{M}$ can topology change to direct product of $(r-t)$-dimensional hypersurface $\widetilde{M}_1$ and t-dimensional hypersurface $\widetilde{M}_2$. It is possible to think that $\widetilde{M}_2$ corresponds to extra dimensions. $\widetilde{M}_2/G_2$ becomes a point.

(B') EGS interpretation:

(B'-i) Corresponding to (A'), there can be a topology change between closed manifolds M and M_1 with $\dim M = \dim M_1 = r-s-t$. ($\dim M_2 = 0$)

(B'-ii) If $r-s-t$ is even, dimension of whole spacetime cobordism W becomes odd. If $\widetilde{M}/G_1 \times G_2$ is homeomorphic to $\widetilde{M}_1$, for example if we take $\widetilde{M} = \widetilde{Q}_1 \times G_2$ where $\widetilde{Q}_1$ is homeomorphic to $\widetilde{M}_1$, then, $\chi(M) = \chi(M_1)$ which shows this Lorentzian cobordism [9]. In this case, there can be no CTC.

(B'-iii) Under (B'-ii), if W admits trivial second Stiefel-Whitney class $\omega_2(W) = 0$, $\partial W = M \sqcup M_1$ becomes spin-Lorentz cobordism [11].

(B'-iv) If $\widetilde{M}/G_1 \times G_2$ is diffeomorphic to $\widetilde{M}_1/G_1$, there is a possibility that there is no topology change among spatial hypersurfaces and W is a Lorentzian cobordism [7]. For example, this is realized as $\widetilde{M} = \widetilde{R}_1 \times G_2$ where $\widetilde{R}_1$ is diffeomorphic to $\widetilde{M}_1$.

(B'-v) Let M and M_1 be obtained from asymptotically flat, non-compact space U and U_1 with one-point compactification, respectively. Further, let $\widetilde{M}$ and $\widetilde{M}_1$ be G-cobordant, where $M = \widetilde{M}/G$ and $M_1 = \widetilde{M}_1/G$. Then it is apparent that base spaces M and M_1 are cobordant. U and U_1 are also cobordant based on the discussion by Dowker and Garcia [14]. It is necessary to examine whether the cobordism which interpolates two hypersurfaces observe the causality or not.

The case where the dimension of G-cobordism is five or more is considered. CCAL cobordism can be obtained if the cobordism $\widetilde{W}$ can be made simply connected by equivariant surgery (G-surgery) and admitted to have the different handle decomposition with no 1-handles and $(N-1)$-handles ($\dim \widetilde{W} = N$) [35]. This is similar argument as Hartnoll [18]. Above discussion can be applied to the KK interpretations (A) and (A').

About EGS interpretation (B), following arguments will be attained. If G-cobordism $\widetilde{W}$ can be made simply connected by equivariant surgery and different handle decomposition is chosen for eliminating the unnecessary handles in base space, CCAL cobordism can be obtained in the spacetime. Further, from the homotopy perfect sequence of fiber bundle $\pi_1(G) \to \pi_1(\widetilde{W}) \to \pi_1(W) \to \pi_0(G)$, the spacetime being simply connected is guaranteed.

Finally, we have the following comments: for both the requirement of extra dimension from the theory and the observation of the spacetime dimension to be consistent, the theory must contain certain mechanism as compactification. It should be also taken into consideration that a series of gauge symmetry lowering occurred in the universe. From this viewpoint, it is reasoned that dynamical compactification should occur, i.e., our geometrical mechanism emerges as dynamical mechanism.

In all of these topology changes is that the process is accompanied by higher to lower gauge symmetry transition. This process is different from Higgs mechanism. This is because the groups act on direct product spaces, and the degree of freedom to lower symmetry group is not used for generation of the boson.

It should be added that the cobordantness among spacetimes themselves can be discussed instead of spatial hypersurfaces.

Appendix A: Principal bundles and Classifying Spaces [36]

Let G be a compact Lie group. A principal G-bundle $\xi(P,p,X,G)$ consists of total space P, base space X, and projection map $p : P \mapsto X$ such that G acts P transitively on the right, and that the orbit $\tilde{x}G$ of $\tilde{x} \in P$ is exactly the fiber $p^{-1}(x)$ of $x = p(\tilde{x})$.

The universal G-bundle $\pi : \mathbb{E}G \mapsto \mathbb{B}G$ is the principal G-bundle such that $\pi_i(\mathbb{E}G) = \{1\}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. $\mathbb{B}G$ is called the classifying space of G. It is known that $\mathbb{B}G$ is determined uniquely up to weak homotopy equivalences. The following theorem is a basic fact for the theory of principal bundles.

Theorem 16 *(Classifying Theorem) : The set of the isomorphism classes* $[G;X]$ *of principal* G*-bundles over*

X has one to one correspondence with the set of homotopy classes $[X;\mathbb{B}G]$ of continuous maps from X to $\mathbb{B}G$.

Throughout this paper, we have assumed that $H^*(\mathbb{B}G;\mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free. Related to the torsion elements of $H^*(\mathbb{B}G;\mathbb{Z})$, the following theorems are known.

Theorem 17 *If $H_*(G;\mathbb{Z})$ has no p-torsion, then $H_*(\mathbb{B}G;\mathbb{Z})$ has no p-torsion.*

Theorem 18 *If $H_*(\mathbb{B}G;\mathbb{Z})$ has no torsion, then $H_*(G;\mathbb{Z})$ has no torsion and is a polynomial ring generated by even dimensional element.*

$$H^*(\mathbb{B}\mathbf{U}(n);\mathbb{Z}) = H^*(\mathbb{B}\mathbf{GL}(n,\mathbb{C});\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_n]$$
$$H^*(\mathbb{B}\mathbf{SU}(n);\mathbb{Z}) = H^*(\mathbb{B}\mathbf{SL}(n,\mathbb{C});\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[c_2, \cdots, c_n]$$

where K is the field which is not characteristic 2, c_i is ith Chern class, and deg$c_i = 2i$.

Appendix B: Signature of a 4n-manifold

Let M be a closed oriented manifold of dimension $4n$. Then we have a non-degenerate bilinear form $I : H_{2n}(M;\mathbb{R}) \times H_{2n}(M;\mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ defined by $I(x, y) = \langle x \cup y, [M]\rangle$, which is called the intersection form of M. Then $H_{2n}(M;\mathbb{R})$ splits to the direct sum $H_{2n}(M;\mathbb{R}) = H_+ \oplus H_-$, where $I|H_+$ is positive definite and $I|H_-$ is negative definite. Set $\sigma(M) = dim_{\mathbb{R}}(H_+) - dim_{\mathbb{R}}(H_-)$. We call $\sigma(M)$ the signature of M. It is known that $\sigma(M)$ is a cobordism invariant of M [37].

---

[1] A. Chodos and S. Detweiler, *Where Has the Fifth-Dimension Gone?*, Phys. Rev. D **21** (1980) 2167-2170.

[2] E. Witten, *Search for a Realistic Kaluza-Klein Theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **186** (1981) 412-428.

[3] O. Bertolami and J. M. Mourao, *The Ground state wave function of a radiation dominated universe*, Class.Quant.Grav.**8** (1991) 1271-1282.

[4] O. Bertolami, Y .A. Kubyshin and J. M. Mourao, *Stability of compactification in Einstein Yang-Mills theories after inflation*, Phys. Rev. D **45** (1992) 3405-3414.

[5] O. Bertolami, P. D. Fonseca, and P. V. Moniz, *Quantum cosmological multidimensional Einstein Yang-Mills model in a $R \times S^3 \times S^d$ topology*, Phys. Rev. D **56** (1997) 4530-4543 [gr-qc/9607015].

[6] S. W. Hawking, *Quantum Gravity and Path Integrals*, Phys. Rev. D **18** (1978) 1747-1753.

[7] R. P. Geroch, *Topology in general relativity*, Jour. Math. Phys. **8** (1967) 782-786.

[8] F. J. Tipler, *Topology Change In Kaluza-Klein And Superstring Theories*, Phys. Lett. B **165** (1985) 67-70.

[9] R. D. Sorkin, *On Topology Change And Monopole Creation*, Phys. Rev. D **33** (1986) 978-982.

[10] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, *Selection rules for topology change*, Commun. Math Phys. **148** (1992) 345-352; *Kinks and topology change*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992) 1719-1721.

[11] A. Chamblin, *Some applications of differential topology in general relativity*, Journal of Geometry and Physics. **13** (1994) 357-377.

[12] L. J. Alty, *Building blocks for topology change*, Jour. Math. Phys. **36** (1995) 3613-3618.

[13] R. Ionicioiu, *Building blocks for topology change in 3D*, gr-qc/9711069.

[14] H. F. Dowker and R. S. Garcia, *A Handlebody calculus for topology change*, Class.Quant.Grav.**15** (1998) 1859-1880 [gr-qc/9711042].

[15] A. Borde, H. F. Dowker, R. S. Garcia, R. D. Sorkin and S. Surya, *Causal continuity in degenerate space-times*, Class.Quant.Grav.**16** (1999) 3457-3482 [gr-qc/9901063].

[16] H. F. Dowker, R. S. Garcia and S. Surya, *Morse index and causal continuity: A Criterion for topology change in quantum gravity*, Class.Quant.Grav.**17** (2000) 697-712 [gr-qc/9910034].

[17] F. Dowker and S. Surya, *Topology change and causal continuity*, Phys. Rev. D **58** (1998) 124019 [gr-qc/9711070].

[18] S. Hartnoll, *Compactification, topology change and surgery theory*, Class.Quant.Grav. **20** (2003) 3093-3106 [hep-th/0302072].

[19] J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking , *Wave Function of the Universe*, Phys. Rev. D **28** (1983) 2960-2975.

[20] J. J. Halliwell and J. Louko, *Steepest Descent Contours In The Path Integral Approach To Quantum Cosmology. 1. The De Sitter Minisuperspace Model*, Phys. Rev. D **39** (1989) 2206-2215; *Steepest Descent Contours In The Path Integral Approach To Quantum Cosmology. 2. Microsuperspace*, Phys. Rev. D **40** (1989) 1868-1875.

[21] J. J. Halliwell and J. B. Hartle, *Integration Contours for the No Boundary Wave Function of the Universe*, Phys. Rev. D **41** (1990) 1815-1834.

[22] A. Vilenkin, *Boundary Conditions in Quantum Cosmology*, Phys. Rev. D **33**, 3560 (1986); *Approaches to quantum cosmology*, Phys. Rev. D **50** (1994) 2581-2594 [gr-qc/9403010]; *Quantum cosmology and eternal inflation*, gr-qc/0204061.

[23] R. Loll and W. Westra, *Sum over topologies and double scaling limit in 2-D Lorentzian quantum gravity*, Class.Quant.Grav.**23** (2006) 465-472 [hep-th/0306183]; *Space-time foam in 2-D and the sum over topologies*, Acta Phys. Polon. **B34** (2003) 4997-5008 [hep-th/0309012].

[24] R. Loll, W. Westra and S. Zohren, *Taming the cosmological constant in 2-D causal quantum gravity with topology change*, Nucl.Phys. B **751** (2006) 419-435 [hep-th/0507012].

[25] J. Ambjørn, R. Loll, W. Westra and S. Zohren, *Putting a cap on causality violations in CDT*, JHEP **12**, 017 (2007) [0709.2784 [gr-qc]].

[26] J. Ambjørn, A. Gorlich, J. Jurkiewicz and R. Loll, *Planckian Birth of the Quantum de Sitter Universe* Phys. Rev. Lett **100**, 091304 (2008) [0712.2485[hep-th]].

[27] A. Anderson and B. DeWitt, *DOES THE TOPOLOGY OF SPACE FLUCTUATE?*, Found. Phys. **16** (1986) 91-105.

[28] S.G. Harris and T. Dray, *The causal boundary of the trousers space*, Class. Quantum Grav. **7** (1990) 149-162.

[29] A. Borde, *Topology change in classical general relativity*, gr-qc/9406053.

[30] G. T. Horowitz, *Topology change in classical and quantum gravity*, Class. Quantum Grav. **8** (1991) 587-602; *Topology change in general relativity*, hep-th/9109030.

[31] P.E.Conner and E.E.Floyd, *Differentiable periodic maps* (Springer, Berlin, 1964).

[32] F. Uchida, *The transformation group and cobordism theory*, Kinokuniya Bookstore, Tokyo (1972).

[33] E. H. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, McGraw-Hill (1966).

[34] P.Candelas, G. T. Horowitz, A. Strominger and E. Witten, *Vacuum Configurations for Superstrings*, Nucl. Phys. B**258** (1985) 46-74; P.Candelas, A. M. Dale and R. Schimmrigk, *Complete Intersection Calabi-Yau Manifolds*, Nucl. Phys. B**298** (1988) 493-525; P.Candelas, C. A. Lütken and R. Schimmrigk, *Complete Intersection Calabi-Yau Manifolds. 2. Three Generation Manifolds*, Nucl. Phys. B**306** (1988) 113-136.

[35] T. Petrie and J. D. Randall, *Transformation Groups on Manifolds*, Marcel. Dekker. Inc. (1984).

[36] K. Ito, *Encyclopedic Dictionary of Mathematics*, MIT Press (1993).

[37] J. W. Milnor and J. D. Stasheff, *Characteristic Classes*, Princeton University Press (1974).